Equality impact assessment is a legal requirement for all strategies, plans, functions, policies, procedures and services under the Equalities Act 2010. We are also legally required to publish assessments.

Section 1: Description

Department	Childrens, Families and Adults		Lead officer respons	sible for assessment	Jon Wilkie	
Service			Other members of team undertaking assessment		Nik Darwin	
Date	10 June 2015 Version			3		
Type of document (mark as appropriate)	Strategy	Plan	Function	Policy	Procedure	Service
Is this a new/existing/revision of an existing document (mark as appropriate)	X Ne	2W K	Existing		Revision	
Title and subject of the impact assessment	Proposal to Provid	e Older People and	Dementia Residential	Respite in the Indepe	endent Sector	
(include a brief description of the aims, outcomes , operational issues as appropriate and how it fits in with the wider aims of the	Corporate priority 2 (Developing affordable models of sustainable local models of care for vulnerable children ar adults).				le children and	
organisation)	•	•	ns for the future of all onditions. These propo	•	• •	••••
Please attach a copy of the strategy/plan/function/policy/procedure/service	with dementia and other long term conditions. These proposals mean that some services currently provided at Lincoln House (CSC) in Crewe may no longer be provided. These options will be informed by a consultation with service users, carers and other key stakeholders and will result in a decision paper being presented to cabinet.					
Who are the main stakeholders? (eg general public, employees, Councillors, partners, specific audiences)	• staff at Line	ers and their carers coln House munity Groups	at Lincoln House			

Section 2: Initial screening

(This may or may not inclu stakeholders listed above)			All stakeholders listed above	e potentially	1					
Who is intended to benefit and how? Service users and carers could be supported to identify more personalised service options we better serve their needs				options which	offer more ch	oice and	that			
Could there be a different impact or butcome for some groups?								:		
Does it include making dec on individual characteristic circumstances?			All social care services are of individual assessment decisi individuals.		-			-		
Are relations between diff or communities likely to be (e.g. will it favour one part deny opportunities for oth	e affecte ticular gi	ed?	No .							
Is there any specific target promote equality? Is there unequal outcomes (do you evidence to prove otherwi	ed actio a histor have er	ry of	No – all decision and solutio	ns will be ba	ased on a fully personalised a	approach				
Is there an actual or poten	tial neg	ative in	npact on these specific characte	eristics? (Pl	ease tick)					
Age	Y		Marriage & civil partnership	N	Religion & belief	N	Carers		Y	
Disability	Y		Pregnancy & maternity	N	Sex	N	Socio-econor	mic status	N	
	+	N	Race	ТВС	Sexual orientation	N				
Gender reassignment										1
Gender reassignment	-		our findings? (quantitative and i.e., graphs, tables, charts	qualitative)	Please provide additional in	formation tha	t you wish to	Consultation carried out) i/involve	ment

Age	In respect of the respite services provided at Lincoln House the key characteristic of customers is that they are older (although some of these customers also have dementia). As such, the proposals could have a number of potentially negative impacts on people of this protected group. These include level of disability, accessibility of alternative services and the ability to cope with a change in location of the service that is being accessed. These aspects will need to be mitigated in alternative options considered for individuals.	Yes, a full consultation is to be conducted with service users
Disability	Dementia users currently use Lincoln House provision for respite and day care. As such, the proposals could have a number of potentially negative impacts on people with disabilities and long term conditions. The extent of these impacts will depend on the type and level of their disability. Examples include; accessibility and availability of alternative services that can be accessed locally, ability to cope with a change in location of the service that is being accessed. A change in the provision of a service could be detrimental to those people with dementia and other long term conditions. These will need to be mitigated in alternative options considered. Some current customers have a physical disability as a secondary client type.	Yes, a full consultation is to be conducted with service users
Gender reassignment	No recording of gender reassignment takes place on the Council's social care record system as such data on this will be unavailable. However, there is no known element in these proposals which is likely to lead to discrimination of the basis of this protected characteristic. There will also be the opportunity to feedback any impacts relating to this during the consultation process	Yes, a full consultation is to be conducted with service users
Marriage & civil partnership	There is the potential for a change in day/respite service to impact on married couples, or couples in civil partnership, where one partner uses services as a result of the relocation of services. There are also impacts listed under the carers section. There will be the opportunity to feedback any impacts relating to this during the consultation process	Yes, a full consultation is to be conducted with service users
Pregnancy & maternity	No impacts were recorded on this protected characteristic during the course of the consultation process. There is also no other evidence to suggest an impact is likely. However, there will be the opportunity to feedback any impacts relating to this during the consultation process	Yes, a full consultation is to be conducted with service users

Race	The current customers of Lincoln House are likely to be predominantly White British given local characteristics. Data analysis on customers' characteristics will be conducted to understand this in full detail. However, there will be the opportunity to feedback any impacts relating to this during the consultation process.	Yes, a full consultation is to be conducted with service users
Religion & belief	The current customers of Lincoln House are likely to be predominantly Christian. Data analysis on customers' characteristics will be conducted to understand in full detail. There is no known element in these proposals which is likely to impact on customers as a result of their religion. However, there will be the opportunity to feedback any impacts relating to this during the consultation process.	Yes, a full consultation is to be conducted with service users
Sex	There is no current known element in this proposal which will directly or indirectly discriminate on the basis of gender. Although there is likely to be a much larger ratio of females to male service users using the services given the characteristics of social care users which can largely be explained by the differences in life expectancy between the sexes. As such a greater proportion of female service users are likely to receive day and respite services (although this will be clarified by data analysis). The proposals themselves are not deemed to have disproportionate effects for either gender. However, there will be the opportunity to feedback any impacts relating to this during the consultation process.	Yes, a full consultation is to be conducted with service users
Sexual orientation	Data is not routinely recorded related to this protected characteristic for customers. However, there is no known evidence to suggest an impact is likely for this group. Nevertheless, there will be the opportunity to feedback any impacts relating to this during the consultation process.	Yes, a full consultation is to be conducted with service users
Carers	The Office of National Statistics estimates that 10% of the population are likely to be carers i.e. 36,500 people in Cheshire East. Respite services are provided to support carers as well as customers. As such, the proposals are likely to have an impact on a defined group of carers; those who care for people using respite or day services within the Crewe area. Particular identifiable concerns would be; changes to service location and the accessibility of alternative provision, increased pressure brought about on the caring role as a result of the changes in services for customers. These will need to be mitigated in alternative options considered. There will be the opportunity to feedback any impacts relating to this during the consultation process	Yes, a full consultation is to be conducted with service users
Socio-economic status	There is no current known element in this proposal which will directly or indirectly	Yes, a full consultation is

	proposal, for customers who are as care at Lincoln House, they would p care home that they choose. For cu support from the council, it is expect	of customers' socio-economic status. sessed to pay the maximum charge fo otentially pay less depending on the r istomers who are assessed as needing ted that they will pay the same as the the opportunity to feedback any impa ess.	r the respite esidential financial y are paying	to be conducted with service users
Proceed to full impact assessment? (Please tick)	Yes		Date: 30/09/	14.4

If yes, please proceed to Section 3. If no, please publish the initial screening as part of the suite of documents relating to this issue

Section 3: Identifying impacts and evidence

This section identifies if there are impacts on equality, diversity and cohesion, what evidence there is to support the conclusion and what further action is needed

Protected	Is the policy (function etc) likely to have	Are there any positive impacts of	Please rate the impact	Further action
characteristics	an adverse impact on any of the groups?	the policy (function etc) on any	taking into account any	(only an outline needs to be included
		of the groups?	measures already in	here. A full action plan can be included
	Please include evidence (qualitative &		place to reduce the	at Section 4)
	quantitative) and consultations	Please include evidence (qualitative	impacts identified	
		& quantitative) and consultations	High: Significant	
			potential impact;	
			history of complaints;	
			no mitigating	
			measures in place;	
			need for consultation	
			Medium: Some	
			potential impact; some	
			mitigating measures in	
			place, lack of evidence	
			to show effectiveness	
			of measures	
			Low: Little/no	

			identified impacts; heavily legislation-led; limited public facing aspect	
consultation	s in this section of the EIA have been developed b	oth through knowledge of the changes	as well as by using feedba	ck received from respondents to the
Age	 Note: Customers of affected respite services at Lincoln House are in the older age groups. Localness of services: Providing respite services from different locations may result in accessibility issues for some customers/carers. This may be a particular issue for the 16 current customers receiving day services at Lincoln House dependant on the location of alternative services. 3 customers or their carers raised concerns about accessing respite services which were further away from their home than Lincoln House. Also see Disability as many issues of relevance to older people are also picked up here. 	Localness of services: There is the potential for customers to be able to access services nearer to where they live. This would result in reduced costs and travelling time for them as well as a greater potential for visits from family/friends. One carer reported that they saw the opportunity to access services closer to their home under the proposal as an advantage. There may be similar benefits provided by the alternatives to current day services may include independent/voluntary sector day care provision or the usage of home care, shared lives or direct payments etc.	Medium	To ensure that accessibility for customers and their carers is taken into account when planning the provision of respite stays. This should include both in the care arranging process but also in deciding which homes should have beds blocked booked with them. To ensure that support is available to work with customers and their carers to make sure that alternative respite provision and day services are local and accessible.
Disability	Dementia: There is established evidence that customers with dementia value continuity of care. Services being transferred from Lincoln House may mean the potential for this to be lost in the short term. This was a concern advanced by 13 customers or their carers during the consultation.	Dementia: The increased choice of services including residential care homes available for customers affected by this consultation means that there is the potential for the customer to access homes which meet more specific needs. This might include facilities, staff training and social	Medium	There needs to be a sufficient allocation of beds within the independent sector for Council customers so that they are able to book consistent respite with the same residential home. This may be less easy to achieve in emergency situations, however, care planning should be sensitive to this requirement.

There were concerns raised by 2 carers	activities. It might also mean people	Customers with dementia, other long
through the consultation that the person	of similar age groups/disabilities.	term conditions and physical disabilities
they care for may refuse to attend	Market development work would	should be placed for respite in homes
alternative services as a result of this	need to take place to establish this	which specialise in care which meets their
disability. This could potentially impact on	potential benefit.	specific needs including for their level of
both the carer and customer, increasing the		complexity. This would include factors
chance of carer breakdown, and reducing	See also Localness of Services under	such as type of clientele, training,
the quality of care that it was possible for	Age	security, home layout, adaptations and
them to offer.		facilities.
Lincoln House currently provides specialist		Work should be conducted with
dementia care. Alternative services would		contracted residential homes to establish
need to be able to offer similar dedicated		good practice with regard to providing
support.		short term respite alongside long term
		residents, reducing the risk of impact on
Other Issues		both customers accessing respite and lon
		term residents.
Mixing: There is the potential for a mixing		
of long term and short term customers at		The booking system for respite in the
independent sector homes to impact		independent sector should be simple and
negatively on both sets of users. This may		flexible to use and should accommodate
include difficulties in making social links for		people with a range of disability related
short stay customers and disturbance to		needs.
permanent residents due to customers		
using the services for short periods of time.		
As part of the consultation a carer stated a		
concern that a person accessing respite in		
the independent sector may not be treated		
as well by the staff as one of the permanent		
residents, stating that they could be treated		
as, "second class citizens".		
Booking: The current booking system		
involves contacting a Care Manager or the		
home directly for a bed. Any revised way of		

	doing this should maintain ease of use due to carers/customers having a range of needs which could potentially inhibit usage.			
Gender reassignment	No impacts on this protected characteristic w consultation, likewise, there are no perceived such, the impact is deemed neutral on this pr	l impacts as a result of this policy. As		
Marriage & civil partnership	No impacts on this protected characteristic w consultation, likewise, there are no perceived such, the impact is deemed neutral on this pr	l impacts as a result of this policy. As		
Pregnancy and maternity	No impacts on this protected characteristic w consultation, likewise, there are no perceived such, the impact is deemed neutral on this pr	l impacts as a result of this policy. As		
Race	No impacts on this protected characteristic w consultation, likewise, there are no perceived such, the impact is deemed neutral on this pr	l impacts as a result of this policy. As		
Religion & belief	No impacts on this protected characteristic w consultation, likewise, there are no perceived such, the impact is deemed neutral on this pr	here raised as a result of this I impacts as a result of this policy. As		
Sex	Whilst arguably it is the case that, due to the who are female, these proposals could have a on this group, it is currently felt that any issue of disability and age	a potential to disproportionally impact		
Sexual orientation	No impacts on this protected characteristic w consultation, likewise, there are no perceived such, the impact is deemed neutral on this pr	l impacts as a result of this policy. As		
Carers	Quality of Care Services 13 responses to the consultation from customers or their carers stated that the quality of alternative care services was very important to carers and families (for	<u>Choice of Services</u> The proposal would allow carers to exercise a choice of care options. This has the potential to reduce the pressure on carers by enabling them	Low	Quality of Care Services – Block booking of respite beds in the independent sector and care arranging should be based on Council quality assurance processes and Care Quality Commission inspection

	example in providing reassurance).	to access services which are an		reports.
	Measures should be put in place to ensure	improved fit to their needs.		
	that the homes customers are placed in for			Customers should have information made
	respite are known to meet quality			available to them in regard to the quality
	standards.			of independent sector options (including
				care standards) in order to give them
	There is the potential for carers to be			greater reassurance and to ensure that
	reluctant to take respite as a result of their			they utilise their respite allocation. They
	uncertainty about alternative options. This			should also be given further information
	could lead to future carer breakdown.			on Shared Lives which may benefit some
				individuals.
Socio-	Cost of Respite		Low	Costs of respite beds should be a factor
	One carer stated through the consultation		LOW	when consideration is given to which
economics	that they would not be able to pay any			independent sector homes are used for
	more for respite support than they do now.			respite so that use of top-up fees is more
	Block booking of beds will need to ensure			an exception than a rule. The Council
	that sufficient range of respite is available			stated in the Consultation Information
	to avoid the need to use top-up fees which			Pack that the amount that customers
	could potentially significantly disadvantage			would pay for respite would most likely
	people from lower socio-economic			be the same for customers who are
	brackets.			
	Drackets.			assessed as needing financial support
				from the council. Under the proposal, for
				customers who are assessed to pay the
				maximum charge for the respite care at
				Hollins View, they would potentially pay
				less depending on the residential care
	due to be carried out wholly or partly by contra			home that they choose.

legislation (e.g. tendering, awards process, contract, monitoring and performance measures)

No – all work will be done internally

Section 4: Review and conclusion

Summary: provide a brief overview including impact, changes, improvement, any gaps in evidence and additional data that is needed

If the proposals to offer alternatives to existing services are implemented there are some potential negative impacts on customers and carers although they can be mitigated by following the prescribed actions listed.

Further engagement with customers and carers would be a crucial part of any transition process.

Specific actions to be taken to reduce, justify or remove any	How will this be monitored?	Officer responsible	Target date
adverse impacts			
To ensure that accessibility of alternative services is taken into account when planning respite stays. This should include both in the care arranging process but also in deciding which homes should have beds blocked booked with them.	During the normal project planning transition process	Ann Riley	Unknown at this stage
To ensure that alternatives for day services are local and accessible.	During the normal project planning transition process	Ann Riley	Unknown at this stage
There should be sufficient allocation of beds within the independent sector for Council customers so that they are able to book consistent respite with the same residential home. This may be less easy to achieve in emergency situations, however, care planning should nevertheless be sensitive to this requirement. Cost bands should be factored in when conducting this review so that use of top up fees is more an exception than a rule.	During the normal project planning transition process	Ann Riley	Unknown at this stage
Customers with dementia, other long term conditions and physical disabilities should be able to access respite in homes which specialise in care which meets their specific needs including for their level of complexity. This would include factors such as type of clientele, training, security, home layout, adaptations and facilities.	During the normal project planning transition process	Ann Riley	Unknown at this stage
Work should be conducted with contracted residential homes to establish good practice with regard to providing short term respite alongside long term residents, reducing the risk of impact on both customers accessing respite and long term residents.	During the normal project planning transition process	Ann Riley	Unknown at this stage
Quality of Care Services – Block booking of beds and care	During the normal project planning	Ann Riley	Unknown at this stage

transition process		
During the normal project planning transition process	Ann Riley	Unknown at this stage
During the normal project planning transition process	Ann Riley	Unknown at this stage
6 months after any decision is taken		
N/A		
Jon Wilkie	Date: 10 June 2015	
Ann Riley	Date: 10 June 2015	
	During the normal project planning transition process During the normal project planning transition process 6 months after any decision is taken N/A Jon Wilkie	During the normal project planning transition process Ann Riley During the normal project planning transition process Ann Riley 6 months after any decision is taken N/A N/A Jon Wilkie Date: 10 June 2015

Please publish this completed EIA form on your website